

**CAMPSEA ASHE PARISH COUNCIL
MINUTES OF COUNCIL MEETING AS A PLANNING COMMITTEE
MONDAY 5th September 2016 AT CAMPSEA ASHE VILLAGE HALL**

Present: Cllrs Richard Fernley (Chairman) (RF), Pat Hayward (PH), Nathan Wills (NW), Derick Balaam (DB), Georgina Proctor (GP), and Frances Gander (FG). In attendance: Eleven members of the public (In the absence of the clerk due to illness the meeting was clerked by Frances Gander).

16.14 Apologies: None

16.15 Declarations of Interest: None

16.16 Public Participation: The meeting was called due to a proposed variation to the original plan for 8 houses to be located on Ullswater Rd/ Mill lane/Little Horsey Park. The planning reference was: Planning application DC/16/3389/VOC Flagship Housing in Ullswater Road Development, Campsea Ashe - alterations to Application ref C/09/1862

16.17 The meeting was opened by the Chairman who explained to the public that due to the short notice concerning the illness of the parish clerk, the parish council had little information concerning the intended alteration, only what could be gleaned from the SCDC planning website. Paperwork from the SCDC site was distributed to the public for consideration and discussion. The chairman explained that the public could raise their concerns on the website and by writing into the Planning Department.

16.18 The public raised the following questions for discussion:

1. Q. **What were the changes?** *From the paper work available the main alteration at the time of the meeting (5th September) was the movement of a boundary line between Plots 2 and 3. However, it was noted by a member of the public that a window had been inserted in Plot 1, which overlooks the back garden of the neighbouring (his) property. The council made note of this and the property owner stated that he would be putting in an objection.*
2. Q. **Was there a start?** *Not known*
3. Q. **Could the village community ask for compensation?** *This led to discussion concerning the Section 106 money that had already been paid to the village and claimed for the play area. It was felt that additional money would be available from the building of these 8 houses. FG explained the how Section 106 money was generated and what it could be spent on.*
4. Q. **Why was there such a short time between the meeting and the closing date (11th)?** *RF stated that the Clerk had received notification from SCDC on 23rd August (at the same time as the residents) and that 5th September was the first date available for the PC. Some residents were concerned that they did not get notification and that only knew about it from a village newsletter. It was pointed out that SCDC only notify those who live in the vicinity of the development.*

5. **Q. What can be done to minimise the additional parking, noise and dust around the area while the building was taking place?** There was concern that builder's vehicles would have nowhere to park except along Mill Lane and outside properties. There was a request that the PC contact Flagship to find out from them on how the project was going to be managed in order to minimise the disruption, and the time scale. RF pointed out that the present state of the site is poor and that any houses would improve the environment. The PC acknowledged that there was a need for this and agreed to discuss it at their next meeting
6. **Q. How many parking spaces will there be?** RF explained that plans indicate that there are 2 spaces for each house. However, the public were concerned that this is not enough as there are already people parking on the roads, and some of these are using the station and leaving their cars for extended lengths of time. There was concern that the road was narrow and it would be further blocked with cars.

16.19 Public discussion continued concerning:

1. The inability of the pumping system to cope with additional sewage which was already a problem for some residents
2. The increased traffic turning into Mill Lane, the wear and tear of the road surface at this junction, and the danger of this bend
3. The increase traffic passing through the village to Bentwaters Park, and how this would be increased with building lorries.

16.20

Discussion was drawn to a close by the chairman, who summarised the fears and concerns raised at the meeting, and agreed to summarise these in a letter to SCDC. While there was no objection about the main variation to the plan, there would be an individual objection about the insertion of a window in Plot 1. The points raised as concerns of the public would be conveyed in the letter.

The public participation part of the meeting closed at 8.25pm and the meeting went into council mode.

16.21 Planning application DC/16/3389/VOC Flagship Housing in Ullswater Road Development, Campsea Ashe - alterations to Application ref C/09/1862

Members discussed and accepted the public participation part of the meeting and agreed to authorise the temporary clerk to respond to the District Council. The clerk will immediately draft a letter for final approval by the Chairman.

There was a unanimous vote to appoint France Gander as Acting/Temporary clerk for all future meeting

Next Meeting: Parish Council 19th September 2016

The meeting closed at 9.30pm

Chairman:

Date